UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RICHARD MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

-V-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ROBERT BEAUDOUIN, M.D., MANDEEP SINGH, P.A., YOON KANG, P.A., WARDEN MCC, THE GEO GROUP, INC., KINGSBROOK JEWISH MEDICAL CENTER, THE BROOKLYN HOSPITAL CENTER, PHILLIP MCPHEARSON, M.D., and JOHN/JANE DOES Nos. 1-10.

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:____
DATE FILED: 3/19/2021

20 Civ. 7275 (VEC)

[PROPOSED]
PRIVACY ACT ORDER AND
PROTECTIVE ORDER

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Richard Martinez ("Plaintiff") brought this action against the United States of America ("United States"), Robert Beaudouin, M.D., Mandeep Singh, P.A., and Yoon Kang, P.A (together, the "Individual Federal Defendants," and collectively with the United States, the "Federal Defendants") as well as the GEO Group, Inc. (the "GEO Group"); the Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center ("Kingsbrook"); the Brooklyn Hospital Center ("Brooklyn Hospital"); and Philip McPherson, M.D., asserting claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act, *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and state law;

WHEREAS, certain records Plaintiff seeks in connection with the allegations in the complaint contain information concerning various individuals which may be protected by the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a (the "Privacy Act"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, the Court enters this Privacy Act Order and Protective Order, upon the joint request
of the parties, for the purposes of facilitating the disclosure of information that otherwise would

be prohibited from disclosure under the Privacy Act, and assuring the confidentiality of information that may be disclosed by the parties or by any non-party agencies, departments, or offices of the United States in the course of discovery proceedings;

The Court, having found that good cause exists for entry of this Privacy Act Order and Protective Order, HEREBY ORDERS:

- 1. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(11), this Order authorizes the Federal Defendants to produce information that otherwise would be prohibited from disclosure under the Privacy Act without presenting Privacy Act objections to this Court for a decision regarding disclosure. To the extent the Privacy Act allows the disclosure of information pursuant to a court order, this Order constitutes such a court order and authorizes the disclosure of that information. Nothing in this paragraph, however, shall require production of information that is prohibited from disclosure (even with the entry of this Order) by other applicable privileges, statutes, regulations, or authorities. The terms of this Order shall govern the safeguarding of such information by all individuals referenced herein.
- 2. As used in this Order, the term "Protected Information" constitutes any and all documents or records, and information contained therein, that contain any confidential, proprietary, personal, or similar information, including but not limited to privileged law enforcement information and information protected from disclosure by the Privacy Act.
- 3. Information that Plaintiff, the Federal Defendants, or other parties deem Protected Information shall be designated as such by stamping the phrase "Subject to Protective Order" on any document or record containing Protected Information prior to the production of such document or record.

- 4. Any party who contests the designation of a document or record as Protected Information shall provide the producing party written notice of its challenge. If the parties cannot resolve this dispute, they shall follow the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Civil Rules for the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Individual Practices in Civil Cases of the Court, and/or any court orders for addressing discovery disputes. Failure to challenge a designation immediately does not waive a party's ability to bring a later challenge.
- 5. Except as provided in this Order, all Protected Information produced or exchanged pursuant to this Order shall be used solely for the purposes of this action and for no other purpose whatsoever, and shall not be published to the general public in any form, or otherwise disclosed, disseminated, or transmitted to any person, entity, or organization, except in accordance with the terms of this Order.
- 6. Any document or record designated as Protected Information may be disclosed only to the following Qualified Persons to the extent the witnesses' testimony may relate to documents designated as Protected Information:
- i. The Federal Defendants, attorneys for the Federal Defendants, and any support staff or other employees of the United States or attorneys of record for the Federal Defendants who are assisting in the defense of this action;
- ii. Plaintiff, attorneys for Plaintiff, and any support staff or other employees of Plaintiff or attorneys of record of Plaintiff who are assisting in the maintenance of this action;
- iii. The GEO Group, attorneys for the GEO Group, and any support staff or other employees of the GEO Group or attorneys of record of the GEO Group who are assisting in the maintenance of this action;

- iv. Kingsbrook; attorneys for Kingsbrook, and any support staff or other employees of Kingsbrook or attorneys of record of Kingsbrook who are assisting in the maintenance of this action;
- v. Brooklyn Hospital and Philip McPherson, M.D., attorneys for Brooklyn Hospital and Dr. McPherson, and any support staff or other employees of Brooklyn Hospital and Dr. McPherson or attorneys of record of Brooklyn Hospital and Dr. McPherson who are assisting in the maintenance of this action;
- vi. Witnesses who are deposed in this action, to the extent the witnesses' testimony may relate to documents designated as Protected Information;
- vii. Experts or consultants retained for this action by a party or by counsel to a party, and any support staff or other employees for such experts or consultants who are assisting in the expert's work for this action;
- viii. Court reporters or stenographers engaged to record deposition testimony, and their employees who are assisting in the preparation of transcripts of such deposition testimony; and
- ix. Such other persons as hereafter may be authorized by the Court upon motion of any party.
- 7. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to each Qualified Person to whom a disclosure of Protected Information is made, at or before the time of disclosure, by the party making the disclosure or by its counsel. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon each such person to whom disclosure is made.

- 8. All Qualified Persons, including the parties and their respective counsel, to whom Protected Information is disclosed, are hereby prohibited from disclosing information designated as Protected Information to any unauthorized person, except as provided in this Order.
- 9. Any deposition questions intended to elicit testimony regarding Protected Information shall be conducted only in the presence of persons authorized to review the Protected Information. Any portions of deposition transcripts containing such questions and testimony shall be automatically subject to the same protections and precautions as the Protected Information.
- 10. If any party seeks to publicly file with the Court any Protected Information, or portions of pleadings, motions, or other papers that disclose such Protected Information, that party shall provide the producing person no less than ten days' advance written notice of its intent to file such material. The producing party may then make an application to the Court requesting that the material be filed and kept under seal. All requests to file material under seal shall follow the requirements of Rule 5 of the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases. If such an application is made, the papers in question shall not be filed until the Court renders a decision on that application. The parties will use their best efforts to minimize the need to file documents under seal.
- 11. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any disclosure of Protected Information to any judge, magistrate, or employee of the Court for purposes of this action.
- 12. Nothing contained in this Order shall be construed to prejudice any party's right to use in open court any Protected Information, provided that reasonable notice of the potential disclosure of the Protected Information shall be given to the producing party so that the

producing party may move to seal the document, or otherwise seek to prevent the disclosure or dissemination of the Protected Information, in advance of its use in open court.

- 13. If counsel for any party is required by law or court order to disclose, disseminate, or transmit Protected Information produced under this Order to any person or entity not identified herein as a Qualified Person, the name of that person or entity and the reason access is required shall be provided to the producing party no less than 14 days prior to disclosure, dissemination, or transmittal so as to provide the producing party sufficient time to object and seek a protective order as necessary. There shall be no disclosure after an objection has been made until the objection has been resolved unless disclosure, dissemination, or transmission is required sooner by law or court order. If Protected Information produced under this Order is required by law or court order to be disclosed to a person or entity not identified herein as a Qualified Person, the person or entity receiving the Protected Information shall, before receiving the Protected Information, be provided with a copy of this Order and shall acknowledgement form. A copy of each such acknowledgement form must be provided promptly after its execution to counsel of record for the producing party.
- 14. Within 30 days after the final disposition of this action, including any and all appeals, all Protected Information and copies thereof in the possession of any Qualified Persons, other than counsel for the parties, shall be returned to the producing parties or destroyed. If the Protected Information is destroyed, the party that has destroyed the Protected Information shall certify in writing to the producing party that the Protected Information in its possession has been destroyed.

- 15. If Plaintiff, the United States, or any other party inadvertently fails to designate material as Protected Information at the time of production, this shall not in itself be deemed a waiver of any claim of confidentiality as to that Protected Information. The producing party may correct its failure to designate an item as Protected Information by taking reasonable steps to notify all receiving persons of its failure, and by promptly supplying all receiving persons with new copies of any documents bearing corrected designations. Within five business days of receiving copies of any documents bearing corrected designations pursuant to this paragraph, the receiving persons shall return or destroy the improperly designated materials, and certify in writing to the producing party that such materials have been returned or destroyed.
- 16. This Order does not constitute any ruling on the question of whether any particular document or category of information is properly discoverable, and does not constitute any ruling on any potential objection to the discoverability, relevance, or admissibility of any document or information.
- 17. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as a waiver of any defense, right, objection, or claim by any party, including any objection to the production of documents and any claim of privilege or other protection from disclosure.
- 18. Nothing in this Order shall affect the right of any party to seek additional protection against the disclosure of any documents or materials, or of the parties to seek additional disclosures.
- 19. Nothing in this Order shall prevent the disclosure of Protected Information to governmental authorities for purposes of enforcement of criminal laws or in furtherance of civil enforcement or regulatory proceedings.

- 20. Nothing in this Order shall prevent any disclosure of Protected Information by the party or entity that designated the information as such.
- 21. Counsel for the Federal Defendants will file this Stipulation and Order via ECF on behalf of all parties. Pursuant to Section 8.5(b) of the Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions of the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, counsel for all parties consent to the electronic filing of this Stipulation and Order by counsel for Defendant.

SO STIPULATED AND AGREED TO BY:

Dated: March 19, 2021

AUDREY STRAUSS United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York Counsel for the Federal Defendants

By:

SAMUEL DOLINGER TALIA KRAEMER

Assistant United States Attorneys 86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor New York, New York 10007 Tel.: (212) 637-2677/2822 samuel.dolinger@usdoj.gov talia.kraemer@usdoj.gov

Dated: March 17, 2021

Cli Fuchsberg

Eli A. Fuchsberg, Esq.
The Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Firm, LLP
3 Park Avenue, Suite 3700
New York, NY 10016
(212) 869-3500
e.fuchsberg@fuchsberg.com
Counsel for the Plaintiff

Dated: March, 2021	
	Matthew Rainis, Esq. Mary Beth Reilly, Esq. Lawrence, Worden, Rainis & Bard, P.C. 175 Pinelawn Road, Suite 308 Melville, NY 11747 (631) 694-0033 mrainis@lwrlawyer.com mbreilly@lwrlawyer.com Counsel for the GEO Group, Inc.
Dated: March <u>17</u> , 2021	Wilee
Dated: March, 2021	Suhlail C. Villa, Esq. Schiavetti, Corgan, DiEdwards, Weinberg & Nicholson, LLP 575 Eighth Avenue, 14th Floor New York, NY 10018 (212) 541-9100 svilla@schiavetti.com Counsel for Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center Patrick J. Brennan, Esq. Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP 61 Broadway, 26th Floor New York, NY 10006 (212) 867-4100 pbrennan@fkblaw.com Counsel for the Brooklyn Hospital Center and Philip McPherson, M.D.
SO ORDERED:	
HON. VALERIE E. CAPRONI United States District Judge	
Dated:	

Dated: March <u>17</u> , 2021	Matthew Rainis
	Matthew Rainis, Esq. Mary Beth Reilly, Esq. Lawrence, Worden, Rainis & Bard, P.C. 175 Pinelawn Road, Suite 308 Melville, NY 11747 (631) 694-0033 mrainis@lwrlawyer.com mbreilly@lwrlawyer.com Counsel for the GEO Group, Inc.
Dated: March, 2021	
	Suhlail C. Villa, Esq. Schiavetti, Corgan, DiEdwards, Weinberg & Nicholson, LLP 575 Eighth Avenue, 14th Floor New York, NY 10018 (212) 541-9100 svilla@schiavetti.com Counsel for Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center
Dated: March, 2021	
	Patrick J. Brennan, Esq. Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP 61 Broadway, 26th Floor New York, NY 10006 (212) 867-4100 pbrennan@fkblaw.com Counsel for the Brooklyn Hospital Center and Philip McPherson, M.D.
SO ORDERED:	
HON. VALERIE E. CAPRONI United States District Judge	
Dated: 3/19/2021	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RICHARD MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

20 Civ. 7275 (VEC)

-V-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ROBERT BEAUDOUIN, M.D., MANDEEP SINGH, P.A., YOON KANG, P.A., WARDEN MCC, THE GEO GROUP, INC., KINGSBROOK JEWISH MEDICAL CENTER, THE BROOKLYN HOSPITAL CENTER, PHILLIP MCPHEARSON, M.D., and JOHN/JANE DOES Nos. 1-10,

Defendants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I have read and I understand the Privacy Act Order and Protective Order entered by the Court in the case *Martinez v. United States of America et al.*, No. 20 Civ. 7275 (VEC), and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Date:		
Name (printed):		
Signature:		